



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,905	07/31/2003	John J. Donahue	011684.00014	9609
22907 7590 03/25/2008 BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 1100 13th STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051				
EXAMINER				
DESAI, RACHNA SINGH				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2176				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/25/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/630,905		Applicant(s) DONAHUE, JOHN J.	
	Examiner Rachna Singh		Art Unit 2176	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Rachna Singh. (3) J. J. Donahue.
 (2) Ross A. Dannenberg. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 March 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: website.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 20, 27, 31, and 33.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tent. US 2002/0152254 A1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant gave an overview of the current invention and provided distinctions between the prior art reference, Teng and the claimed invention. Examiner indicated a cursory review of the reference appears to be missing the feature of creating an XML document from a contract text document. Examiner agreed to reconsider the features of claim 1 in light of Applicant's arguments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Rachna Singh/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2176
 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
 Attachment to a signed Office action.